S & M 3189

Radiation-induced Luminescence Properties of Ce-doped ZnBr₂-based Glasses

Hiromi Kimura,^{1*} Takeshi Fujiwara,¹ Masahito Tanaka,¹ Takumi Kato,² Daisuke Nakauchi,² Noriaki Kawaguchi,² and Takayuki Yanagida²

¹National Metrology Institute of Japan, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST),

1-1-1 Umezono, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8568, Japan

²Division of Materials Science, Nara Institute of Science and Technology (NAIST),

8916-5 Takayama, Ikoma, Nara 630-0192, Japan

(Received October 1, 2022; accepted January 18, 2023)

Keywords: photoluminescence, scintillation, ZnBr₂-based glass

Ce-doped 20CsBr-20BaBr₂-60ZnBr₂ glasses were synthesized by the conventional melt quenching method, and their photoluminescence (PL) and scintillation properties were investigated. In the PL and scintillation spectra, all the Ce-doped glasses showed broad emissions due to the 5d–4f transitions of Ce³⁺ ions. Under ²⁴¹Am α -rays, the light yield of 0.05% Ce-doped glass was 40 photons/5.5 MeV- α .

1. Introduction

Scintillation detectors have been used in various fields such as medicine,⁽¹⁾ security,⁽²⁾ well logging,^(3,4) environmental monitoring,^(5,6) and high-energy physics.⁽⁷⁾ They are composed of a scintillator and a photodetector.⁽⁸⁾ The scintillator has a function to instantaneously convert the absorbed energy of ionizing radiation into a large number of low-energy photons, and the photodetector can convert the photons into electric signals. The performance of scintillation detectors strongly depends on the properties of the scintillator. The scintillators for X- and γ -ray detectors generally require a large effective atomic number, a high density, a high light yield (*LY*), a short lifetime, and a low afterglow.^(9–12)

Single crystals have mainly been used as the material form for X-ray and γ -ray detectors because of their high transmission and high density.^(13–22) In addition, commercial scintillators such as T1-doped NaI,⁽²³⁾ T1-doped CsI,⁽²⁴⁾ Ce-doped Gd₂SiO₅,⁽²⁵⁾ and Ce-doped Gd₃(Ga,Al)₅O₁₂⁽²⁶⁾ show high *LYs*. On the other hand, although glasses have some merits including a high transparency, a low production cost, and a high moldability, the only commercial scintillator in the form of glass for neutron detectors is Ce-doped Li₂O-MgO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂ (GS20, Saint-Gobain).^(27,28) Therefore, the development of glass scintillators for X- and γ -ray detectors is expected.

The scintillation properties of various oxide glass scintillators such as borate, (29-32) silicate, (33-38) phosphate, (39-44) and tellurite glasses (45-47) have been reported. In recent years,

^{*}Corresponding author: e-mail: <u>h.kimura@aist.go.jp</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.18494/SAM4146</u>

Ce-doped oxyhalide and halide glasses have attracted much attention because of advantages including a low synthesis temperature and a low phonon energy compared with oxide glasses.^(48–52) In addition, Ce-doped oxyhalide glasses show high *LY*s compared with those reported for glass scintillators; for example, the *LY*s reported for Ce-doped BaF₂-Al₂O₃-B₂O₃,⁽⁵³⁾ CsCl-Al(PO₃)₃-CsPO₃,⁽⁵⁴⁾ and CsBr-Al(PO₃)₃-CsPO₃⁽⁵⁵⁾ were 1800, 2100, and 2700 photons/MeV, respectively. In the case of halide glasses, Ce-doped ZnCl₂-based glasses such as CsCl-CaCl₂-ZnCl₂⁽⁵⁶⁾ and CsCl-BaCl₂-ZnCl₂⁽⁵⁷⁾ showed high quantum yields (*QY*) of ~87.6 and ~75.7, respectively. However, there are no reports on the scintillation properties of Ce-doped ZnBr₂-based glasses even though the phonon energy of bromide glasses is lower than that of chloride glasses. In this study, we synthesized Ce-doped CsBr-BaBr₂-ZnBr₂ glasses and investigated their photoluminescence (PL) and scintillation properties.

2. Materials and Methods

We synthesized 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, and 0.5% Ce-doped 20CsBr-20BaBr₂-60ZnBr glasses by the conventional melt quenching method. As raw powders, CsBr (99.99%, Furuuchi Chemical), BaBr₂ (99.9%, Furuuchi Chemical), ZnBr₂ (99.99%, High Purity Chemicals), and CeBr₃·6H₂O (>99%, Mitsuwa Chemicals) were used. Detailed information on the synthesis is given in our past reports.⁽⁵⁸⁾ The synthesized glasses were then evaluated as follows.

For PL properties, the PL excitation/emission map and QY were measured using a Quantaurus-QY system (Hamamatsu Photonics, C11347). In addition, PL decay curves were evaluated using a Quantaurus- τ system (Hamamatsu Photonics, C11367). To evaluate scintillation properties, X-ray-induced scintillation spectra were measured using a laboratory-built setup reported previously.⁽²⁶⁾ X-ray-induced decay curves were evaluated using an afterglow characterization system.⁽⁵⁹⁾ To estimate scintillation *LY*s, pulse height spectra were measured using our original setup.⁽²⁶⁾

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1 shows photographs of the 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, and 0.5% Ce-doped 20CsBr-20BaBr₂-60ZnBr₂ glasses. The thickness of the synthesized glasses was fixed at 1.0 mm, and all the glasses were transparent. The glasses with higher Ce concentrations were yellow, which would be due to the Ce absorption. The surfaces of all the glasses became slightly cloudy after a few minutes because they were deliquescent.

The PL excitation/emission map of the 0.5% Ce-doped glass is illustrated in Fig. 2 as a representative map. Here, the vertical and horizontal axes correspond to the excitation and emission wavelengths, respectively. All the Ce-doped glasses showed a broad emission peak around 380 nm under excitation wavelengths of 290 and 320 nm. The peak positions of the excitation and emission bands were consistent with this in past reports on Ce-doped glasses. ^(56,57) The QYs of the 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, and 0.5% Ce-doped glasses were 4.4, 2.2, 1.4, and 1.6%, respectively, which were comparable to that of Eu-doped 20CsBr-20BaBr₂-60ZnBr₂ glasses (\sim 6.4%).⁽⁵⁸⁾



Fig. 1. (Color online) Photographs of 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, and 0.5% Ce-doped 20CsBr-20BaBr₂-60ZnBr₂ glasses.



Fig. 2. (Color online) PL excitation/emission map of 0.5% Ce-doped 20CsBr-20BaBr₂-60ZnBr₂ glass.

Figure 3 shows PL decay curves of the Ce-doped glasses for a monitoring wavelength of 380 nm and an excitation wavelength of 280 nm. All the curves were well fitted by the sum of the two exponential decay functions we used to estimate the lifetimes. The lifetimes of the two components of all the glasses were 3.7-6.3 and 19.6-21.2 ns. The faster component was assigned using the instrumental response function (IRF), and the slower component was a typical value for the 5d–4f transitions of Ce³⁺.^(56,57,60)

Figure 4 presents X-ray-induced scintillation spectra of the Ce-doped glasses. The 0.5% Ce-doped glass showed broad emission peaks around 400 and 500 nm. The peak position of the emission around 400 nm was slightly shifted to the longer-wavelength side compared with the result for PL. This behavior was observed in previous studies on Ce-doped ZnCl₂-based glasses and is due to the self-absorption of Ce.^(56,57) In a previous report on non-doped ZnCl₂-based glasses, there was a broad emission peak around 500 nm due to self-trapped excitons (STEs).⁽⁶¹⁾ Therefore, the origin of the broad emission peak around 500 nm is also expected to be STEs in Ce-doped ZnBr₂-based glasses.

X-ray-induced scintillation decay curves of the Ce-doped glasses are shown in Fig. 5. The decay curves of all the Ce-doped glasses consisted of a sum of two exponential decay functions, and the faster and slower lifetimes were 0.8-1.1 and 25.8-36.8 ns, respectively. Since these values were consistent with those of Ce-doped ZnCl₂-based glasses,^(56,57) the faster and slower lifetimes are concluded to be due to the IRF and the 5d–4f transitions of



Fig. 3. (Color online) PL decay curves of Ce-doped 20CsBr-20BaBr₂-60ZnBr₂ glasses for a monitoring wavelength of 380 nm and an excitation wavelength of 280 nm.



Fig. 4. (Color online) X-ray-induced scintillation spectra of Ce-doped $20CsBr-20BaBr_2-60ZnBr_2$ glasses.



Fig. 5. (Color online) X-ray-induced scintillation decay curves of Ce-doped 20CsBr-20BaBr₂-60ZnBr₂ glasses.

 Ce^{3+} ions, respectively. Compared with the result for PL, the lifetime for the scintillation was long. A similar trend was observed in previous studies.^(61–63)

Figure 6 shows the pulse height spectra of the Ce-doped ZnBr₂-based glasses under ²⁴¹Am α -rays. The inset shows the pulse height spectra of Ce-doped Lu₂SiO₅ under ¹³⁷Cs γ -rays as a reference. Here, Ce-doped Lu₂SiO₅ has an *LY* of 8700 photons/MeV.⁽⁶⁴⁾ For the 0.05% Ce-doped glass, the full energy peak was observed around 105 ch. In contrast, no full energy peak was clearly confirmed for the other glasses. As possible reasons for this observation, the synthesized glasses may have been inhomogeneous and the *LY*s of the glasses were very low. The estimated *LY* of the 0.05% Ce-doped glass was 40 photons/5.5 MeV- α with a typical error of ±10%. Table 1 shows the *QY*s and *LYs* for previously reported ZnCl₂ and ZnBr₂-based glasses were lower than those of the Ce-doped ZnCl₂-based and Eu-doped ZnBr₂-based glasses. In general, Ce can take the state of Ce³⁺ or Ce⁴⁺ in glasses, although the emission around 400 nm is not related to Ce⁴⁺. If the present glasses had been prepared in a reducing environment, Ce⁴⁺ would have been converted to Ce³⁺, and their *QYs* and *LYs* may have been improved.

Table 1

QY_{s} and LY_{s} for previously reported ZnCl ₂ -based and ZnBr ₂ -based glasses and glasses in this study.			
Chemical composition	QY(%)	LY (photons/5.5 MeV- α)	Reference
Ce:20CsCl-20CaCl ₂ -60ZnCl ₂	~87.6	No data	(56)
Ce:20CsCl-20BaCl ₂ -60ZnCl ₂	~75.7	127	(57)
Eu:20CsCl-20BaCl2-60ZnCl2	~3.3	No data	(61)
Ce:20CsBr-20BaBr ₂ -60ZnBr ₂	~4.4	40	This work
Eu:20CsBr-20BaBr2-60ZnBr2	~6.4	100	(58)



Fig. 6. (Color online) Pulse height spectra of Ce-doped 20CsBr-20BaBr₂-60ZnBr₂ glasses under ²⁴¹Am α -rays. The inset shows the pulse height spectrum of a Ce-doped Lu₂SiO₅ scintillator.

4. Conclusions

We prepared 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, and 0.5% Ce-doped 20CsBr-20BaBr₂-60ZnBr₂ glasses by the conventional melt quenching method and investigated their PL and scintillation properties. All the glasses were transparent when the thickness was fixed at 1.0 mm. Under UV and X-ray irradiations, all the glasses showed a broad emission peak around 380 and 400 nm, respectively, which was due to the 5d–4f transitions of Ce³⁺ ions. Under ²⁴¹Am α -rays, the *LY* of the 0.05% Ce-doped glass was 40 photons/5.5 MeV- α , and we investigated the scintillation properties of Ce-doped ZnBr₂-based glasses for the first time.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific A (22H00309), Scientific B (22H02939, 21H03733, and 21H03736), and a Research Activity Start-Up (22K20489) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The Cooperative Research Project of Research Center for Biomedical Engineering, TEPCO Memorial Foundation, Nakatani Foundation, and KRF Foundation are also acknowledged.

References

- 1 S. Yamamoto, S. Okumura, N. Kato, and J. Y. Yeom: J. Instrum. 10 (2015) T09002.
- 2 J. Glodo, Y. Wang, R. Shawgo, C. Brecher, R. H. Hawrami, J. Tower, and K. S. Shah: Phys. Proceedia 90 (2017) 285.
- 3 N. Kawaguchi, G. Okada, K. Fukuda, and T. Yanagida: Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **954** (2020) 161518.
- 4 C. L. Melcher: Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B 40-41 (1989) 1214.
- 5 L. Salonen: Sci. Total Environ. 130–131 (1993) 23.
- 6 Y. Shirakawa: Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B 263 (2007) 58.
- 7 T. Itoh, T. Yanagida, M. Kokubun, M. Sato, R. Miyawaki, K. Makishima, T. Takashima, T. Tanaka, K. Nakazawa, T. Takahashi, N. Shimura, and H. Ishibashi: Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 579 (2007) 239.
- 8 T. Yanagida: Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. B 94 (2018) 75.
- 9 M. J. Weber: J. Lumin. 100 (2002) 35.
- 10 C. W. E. van Eijk: Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 392 (1997) 285.
- 11 T. Yanagida, T. Kato, D. Nakauchi, and N. Kawaguchi: Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 62 (2023) 010508.
- 12 P. Lecoq: Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 809 (2016) 130.
- 13 M. Koshimizu, N. Kawano, A. Kimura, S. Kurashima, M. Taguchi, Y. Fujimoto, and K. Asai: Sens. Mater. 33 (2021) 2137.
- 14 H. Fukushima, M. Akatsuka, H. Kimura, D. Onoda, D. Shiratori, D. Nakauchi, T. Kato, N. Kawaguchi, and T. Yanagida: Sens. Mater. 33 (2021) 2235.
- 15 Y. Fujimoto, D. Nakauchi, T. Yanagida, M. Koshimizu, and K. Asai: Sens. Mater. 33 (2021) 2147.
- 16 E.V.D. van Loef, P. Dorenbos, C. W. E. van Eijk, K. Krämer, and H. U. Güdel: Appl. Phys. Lett. 77 (2000) 1467.
- 17 P. Kantuptim, H. Fukushima, H. Kimura, D. Nakauchi, T. Kato, M. Koshimizu, N. Kawaguchi, and T. Yanagida: Sens. Mater. **33** (2021) 2195.
- 18 Y. Fujimoto, D. Nakauchi, T. Yanagida, M. Koshimizu, and K. Asai: Sens. Mater. 34 (2022) 629.
- 19 T. Yanagida, T. Kato, D. Nakauchi, and N. Kawaguchi: Sens. Mater. 34 (2022) 595.
- 20 A. Canning, A. Chaudhry, R. Boutchko, and N. Grønbech-Jensen: Phys. Rev. B 83 (2011) 125115.
- 21 D. Nakauchi, H. Fukushima, T. Kato, N. Kawaguchi, and T. Yanagida: Sens. Mater. 34 (2022) 611.
- 22 L. Pidol, A. Kahn-Harari, B. Viana, B. Ferrand, P. Dorenbos, J. T. M. de Haas, C. W. E. van Eijk, and E. Virey: J. Phys. Condens. Matter 15 (2003) 2091.
- 23 E. Sakai: IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 34 (1987) 418.
- 24 M. Moszynski, M. Kapusta, M. Mayhugh, D. Wolski, and S. O. Flyckt: IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 44 (1997) 1052.
- 25 M. Balcerzyk, M. Moszynski, M. Kapusta, D. Wolski, J. Pawelke, and C. L. Melcher: IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 47 (2000) 1319.
- 26 T. Yanagida, K. Kamada, Y. Fujimoto, H. Yagi, and T. Yanagitani: Opt. Mater. 35 (2013) 2480.
- 27 J. Czirr, G. MacGillivray, R. MacGillivray, and P. Seddon: Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 424 (1999) 15.
- 28 A. Ishikawa, A. Yamazaki, K. Watanabe, S. Yoshihashi, A. Uritani, Y. Sakurai, H. Tanaka, R. Ogawara, M. Suda, and T. Hamano: Sens. Mater. 32 (2020) 1489.
- 29 N. Kawano, N. Kawaguchi, G. Okada, Y. Fujimoto, and T. Yanagida: J. Non. Cryst. Solids 482 (2018) 154.
- 30 H. Kimura, H. Masai, T. Kato, D. Nakauchi, N. Kawaguchi, and T. Yanagida: J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron. 31 (2020) 3017.
- 31 H. Masai, Y. Suzuki, T. Yanagida, and K. Mibu: Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 88 (2015) 1047.
- 32 N. Kawaguchi, H. Masai, M. Akatsuka, D. Nakauchi, T. Kato, and T. Yanagida: Sens. Mater. 33 (2021) 2215.
- 33 Y. Isokawa, H. Kimura, T. Kato, N. Kawaguchi, and T. Yanagida: Opt. Mater. 90 (2019) 187.
- 34 D. Shiratori, H. Kimura, D. Nakauchi, T. Kato, N. Kawaguchi, and T. Yanagida: Radiat. Meas. 134 (2020) 106297.
- 35 W. Chewpraditkul, Y. Shen, D. Chen, B. Yu, P. Prusa, M. Nikl, A. Beitlerova, and C. Wanarak: Opt. Mater. 34 (2012) 1762.
- 36 H. Fukushima, D. Shiratori, D. Nakauchi, T. Kato, N. Kawaguchi, and T. Yanagida: Sens. Mater. 34 (2022) 717.
- 37 H. Masai, H. Kimura, M. Akatsuka, T. Kato, N. Kitamura, and T. Yanagida: J. Lumin. 241 (2022) 118481.
- 38 K. Ichiba, Y. Takebuchi, H. Kimura, D. Shiratori, T. Kato, D. Nakauchi, N. Kawaguchi, and T. Yanagida: Sens. Mater. 34 (2022) 677.
- 39 T. Yanagida, Y. Fujimoto, H. Masai, G. Okada, T. Kato, D. Nakauchi, and N. Kawaguchi: Sens. Mater. 33 (2021) 2179.

- 40 J. Zhou, J. Zhong, J. Dai, Z. Xiao, J. Zhang, Q. Su, and S. Pan: Sci. Adv. Mater. 9 (2017) 424.
- 41 S. Akiyama, A. Sasaki, R. Nakajima, D. Hasegawa, K. Iizuka, S. Kimura, T. Akagami, Y. Kitagawa, O. Hanaizumi, and W. Kada: Sens. Mater. **34** (2022) 735.
- 42 D. Yodkantee, A. Prasatkhetragarn, N. Chanthima, Y. Tariwong, S. Kothan, S. Rujirawat, R. Yimnirun, P. Kidkhunthod, H. J. Kim, P. Limsuwan, and J. Kaewkhao: Radiat. Phys. Chem. **185** (2021) 109496.
- 43 N. Kawaguchi, D. Nakauchi, T. Kato, Y. Futami, and T. Yanagida: Sens. Mater. 34 (2022) 725.
- 44 D. Shiratori, Y. Takebuchi, T. Kato, D. Nakauchi, N. Kawaguchi, and T. Yanagida: Sens. Mater. 34 (2022) 745.
- 45 N. Kawano, H. Kimura, D. Nakauchi, K. Shinozaki, and T. Yanagida: Solid State Sci. 100 (2020) 106111.
- 46 R. Nakamori, N. Kawano, A. Takaku, D. Onoda, Y. Takebuchi, H. Fukushima, T. Kato, K. Shinozaki, and T. Yanagida: Sens. Mater. 34 (2022) 707.
- 47 R. Nakamori, N. Kawano, A. Takaku, D. Nakauchi, H. Kimura, M. Akatsuka, K. Shinozaki, and T. Yanagida: Mater. Res. Bull. **145** (2022) 111547.
- 48 T. Tsuneoka, K. Kojima, and S. Bojja: J. Non. Cryst. Solids 202 (1996) 297.
- 49 M. Shojiya, M. Takahashi, R. Kanno, Y. Kawamoto, and K. Kadono: J. Appl. Phys. 82 (1997) 6259.
- 50 Y. Yao, L. Liu, Y. Zhang, D. Chen, Y. Fang, and G. Zhao: Opt. Mater. 51 (2016) 94.
- 51 X. Sun and S. Huang: Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 621 (2010) 322.
- 52 Y. Du, S. Han, Y. Zou, J. Yuan, C. Shao, X. Jiang, and D. Chen: Opt. Mater. 89 (2019) 243.
- 53 H. Samizo, K. Shinozaki, T. Kato, G. Okada, N. Kawaguchi, H. Masai, and T. Yanagida: Opt. Mater. 90 (2019) 64.
- 54 K. Kagami, Y. Fujimoto, M. Koshimizu, T. Yanagida, K. Shinozaki, and K. Asai: J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron. **31** (2020) 4488.
- 55 Y. Nakabayashi, Y. Fujimoto, M. Koshimizu, and K. Asai: J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron. 33 (2022) 19846.
- 56 G. Ito, H. Kimura, D. Shiratori, D. Nakauchi, T. Kato, N. Kawaguchi, and T. Yanagida: Sens. Mater. **34** (2022) 685.
- 57 G. Ito, H. Kimura, D. Shiratori, D. Nakauchi, T. Kato, N. Kawaguchi, and T. Yanagida: Optik 226 (2021) 165825.
- 58 H. Kimura, T. Kato, D. Nakauchi, N. Kawaguchi, and T. Yanagida: Sens. Mater. 34 (2022) 691.
- 59 T. Yanagida, Y. Fujimoto, T. Ito, K. Uchiyama, and K. Mori: Appl. Phys. Express 7 (2014) 062401.
- 60 H. Kimura, K. Shinozaki, G. Okada, N. Kawaguchi, and T. Yanagida: J. Non. Cryst. Solids 508 (2019) 46.
- 61 G. Ito, H. Kimura, D. Shiratori, K. Hashimoto, D. Nakauchi, M. Koshimizu, T. Kato, N. Kawaguchi, and T. Yanagida: J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron. **32** (2021) 8725.
- 62 H. Kimura, F. Nakamura, T. Kato, D. Nakauchi, N. Kawano, G. Okada, N. Kawaguchi, and T. Yanagida: Optik 157 (2018) 421.
- 63 F. Nakamura, T. Kato, G. Okada, N. Kawaguchi, K. Fukuda, and T. Yanagida: J. Alloys Compd. 726 (2017) 67.
- 64 K. Ichiba, Y. Takebuchi, H. Kimura, T. Kato, D. Nakauchi, N. Kawaguchi, and T. Yanagida: J. Mater. Sci. -Mater. Electron. **32** (2021) 25065.